At a Glance

Today’s leaders must navigate an economic environment that is far more complex and unpredictable than in the past. Traditional hierarchy-based management practices that previously propelled success can no longer keep pace with the constantly shifting business landscape.

As leaders seek ways to address this new reality, they can take a cue from complex adaptive systems in nature, such as coral reefs, prairies and rainforests. Made up of highly interconnected and interdependent parts, these systems can quickly adjust and adapt to survive.

The physical workplace is an important agent within an organization that can enable openness, transparency and flexibility, helping leaders create the conditions for an engaged, agile and resilient workforce.
How Lessons From Biology Breed Agility
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Aspiring business leaders may find that taking a course in biology will serve their companies better than focusing on the standard business curriculum. That’s because successful organizations today are more like a complex adaptive system found in nature and less like hierarchical organizations with military-like command and control structures that past generations of leaders managed.

Understanding a Complex Adaptive System

Within complex adaptive systems, such as rainforests, coral reefs or prairies, survival depends on its ability to morph and change. Individual elements interact dynamically and react to stimuli as they occur. Although elements act in parallel, there is no centralized control mechanism that governs behaviors within the system and behaviors change in response to stimuli within an always changing environment.

As leaders seek new ways to help their organizations become more agile, many of them have not yet understood or embraced the new biology of their organizations, or considered leading it in fundamentally different ways. And many have not yet recognized that one of the “agents” in their complex adaptive system is the physical workplace, which can be used to help shape new, agile behaviors—or reinforce the ways things have always been done, slowing adoption and hampering organizational resilience.

The risks and rewards inherent in times of change are well known to senior leaders, who have to seize opportunities for growth while sidestepping pitfalls. But there’s a big difference between being aware of a complex, rapidly evolving business landscape and taking successful action to leverage it—especially when the ground beneath them seems to be constantly shifting.

For over 20 years Steelcase has researched the changing nature of work, including the disruptive elements impacting leaders, using its own leadership spaces as a laboratory (see p. 28). Designed as prototypes to test theories and push the boundaries of how work happens, these spaces have resulted in radically reimagined ways of working and leading, both as individuals and as a team of leaders. The latest research has identified how physical space can help executives lead their organizations like a complex adaptive system and, in turn, reshape the culture and organizational performance overall.
The New Business Landscape

The latest IBM C-suite study dubs today’s increasingly complex business environment as “the age of disruption,” in which it’s difficult to predict what’s coming next, or where it’s coming from. CXOs around the world point to the threat posed by “digital giants” flexing their technology muscle in new industries, supplanting known competitors, and “ankle-biters,” agile startups that seem to come out of nowhere and redefine the market.

Today leaders also have to navigate a globally interdependent ecosystem to a far greater degree than their predecessors. While this deep global reach has created greater opportunities, it also exposes organizations to a complicated web of laws, languages, customs, regulations, cultures and other market realities.

This new global landscape requires organizations to quickly pursue new ideas and opportunities, but to do this employees must act as resilient, agile “agents” in a complex adaptive system: interacting, learning, adapting and responding to change. Yet leaders face a major barrier – a workforce in which the largest employee segment, 37 percent, is disengaged, simply doing enough work to get by, according to a two-year study by Steelcase and global research firm Ipsos, “Engagement and the Global Workplace” (to learn more about the key findings in this study visit www.steelcase.com/globalreport).

But there is good news: 34 percent of employees are engaged and want to work in new ways, seeking meaningful work and workplaces that enable them to make meaningful connections. And the 29 percent of employees wavering between engagement and disengagement can be within reach for leaders who take proactive steps to address what employees really want and need in their work experiences.

37% of employees are disengaged and simply doing enough work to get by.

34% of employees are engaged.

29% of employees are wavering between engagement and disengagement.

Today’s leaders must navigate a complex global landscape that exposes organizations to a complicated web of laws, languages, customs, regulations and cultures.
Leaders need to manage large numbers of internal and external relationships that span organizational and geographic boundaries. It not only requires an ongoing juggling act, but also amplifies the degree of mobility required to lead an organization today.

Employee engagement and a daunting business climate aren’t the only challenges facing leaders today. There is a myriad of other obstacles they must overcome to improve their employees’ and overall organizational performance, as well as their personal performance. The difference between leaders and everyone else, explains Patricia Kammer, senior design researcher who led a global exploration on leadership, is “two defining characteristics: the breadth of their influence—the ramifications of their actions can impact the entire organization, and even the industry—and their need to immerse deeply in a wide range of topics every day. These dual realities put extreme pressure on executives to make every moment count.”

Kammer and a team of Steelcase researchers and designers interviewed and observed work behaviors of executives over the course of two years to understand the challenges they encounter and how they need to work differently. They saw that executives are facing the same onslaught of information that most employees are experiencing—only more. Leaders are coping with “infobesity” and need to quickly winnow out extraneous data to find information of value. Leaders can’t rely on information making its way up the chain of command and yet know that they can’t fall into the trap of trying to know everything themselves, so they need to rely on their expert networks, internally and externally. Dealing with information that is often sensitive or confidential causes a balancing act for leaders who also need to be accessible and visible.

The Steelcase researchers also noticed that the breadth of the work leaders are doing results in rapid context shifting, requiring a mental reset for each new meeting that fills their jammed schedule. The pace of work has accelerated for everyone, and for global executives who are in constant demand, schedules have become even more fragmented and extended to span multiple time zones.

Leaders need to manage large numbers of internal and external relationships that span organizational and geographic boundaries. It not only requires an ongoing juggling act, but also amplifies the degree of mobility required to lead an organization today. Being “on” and available to more audiences creates additional pressures on them. “Meeting with everyone who wants to see me is impossible. I want to be accessible, but there are not enough hours in the day,” according to one executive. “You can get hijacked by email,” said another.

All that mobility, time-zone hopping and schedule juggling takes its toll. Although today’s highly nomadic leaders frequently say they can work anywhere, doing so can actually undermine their reasons for doing it: Instead of gaining energy, insights and inspiration from others, they risk becoming cognitively overburdened as they strive to heroically work longer and harder. Some of the first things that get sacrificed are the activities leaders need to rejuvenate and gain the physical and mental stamina required to do their jobs. “It’s really all about managing your energy: physiological, emotional, mental and spiritual—that whole realm of purpose, meaning and motivation,” said one executive.

Another frequent casualty is the loss of connection with people—not only with their employees, but with their executive peer group. Without these interactions, leaders can’t develop a panoramic perspective and broad organizational intelligence. Rather than working collaboratively as a leadership team, they risk inadvertently working at cross-purposes.
While everything seems to be changing around leaders, either by choice or circumstance, one thing that is fairly enduring are their offices. The vast majority of leaders work in traditional, private offices according to the Steelcase Global Report; 58 percent work in private offices compared to 23 percent of employees. While that disparity is probably not surprising to most, it begs the question of whether leaders have considered the possibility that their workspace could be a catalyst for the type of change they are trying to implement.
Steelcase talked with leaders around the world to learn what changes they see happening within the workplace and asked the question:

How do you think the physical work environment needs to change to support the way people want to work today?

“The CEOs ought to ask their people what they think. How do they view things? This flexible way of working—you can work outside, work inside, work wherever—requires you to trust your people. You give people projects and expectations, and if they accomplish that sitting outside rather than at a desk, who cares?”

Kurt Darrow
CEO, La-Z-Boy, United States

“We realize that everybody is different. One person enjoys working in a coffee bar, another constantly wants to be on the move and a third one likes to sit on the floor. Again, this office is a meeting place. By offering different spaces and possibilities, everyone can find their feet. And if research shows that most people like to do their work in a coffee bar, then why not build a real coffee bar with great coffee?”

Manon Van Beek
CEO, Accenture, Netherlands

“The workplace needs to enable collaborative work, and it needs to entice people to get together. Give the workforce a physically comfortable environment with technology that enables team members to share information easily and you get a boost in productivity and efficiency.”

Gilbert Soufan
President, Cyviz, Middle East and Asia

Many leaders believe the traditional private office is essential for them to do their jobs. They cite the need for handling confidential information, but also for accessibility. “My office is the place where people come and we work together,” explained one CFO. “I have an open door policy, so people always know where they can find me and I can find other leaders I need to work with.”

While grouping leaders in aisles of executive offices with administrative assistants on guard or creating executive dining rooms can bring leaders in closer proximity to one another, it can also separate them from what’s really happening in their organizations. Employees worry about disrupting busy executives and often feel uncomfortable or even unwelcome in these leadership offices.

While executive suites are still the norm, leaders are spending more time working anywhere and everywhere, knowing they can’t afford to be isolated from employees who have their fingers on the pulse of the organization. They’re seeking ways to be more in touch with what’s really happening. To make a clear statement, one executive said he replaced opaque walls in his office with glass. “I want people to see me working with lots of different people, I want our work to be exposed,” he explained.

Another CEO who participated in the study intentionally moved out of the traditional, 300-square-foot office that he inherited to a smaller office on a lower floor. “It was symbolic for me to come downstairs, I’m trying to break down the hierarchy,” he explained. “Too much decision making has been going down the chain of command. That’s not the best way to run a business. I don’t have all the knowledge that other employees have to make the decision, and it slows us down.”

“In the past, executive offices were seen as a reward for high achievement and played a significant role in representing status and hierarchy,” notes Kammer. “Now and in the future, it’s clear that physical space must work harder to support leaders’ work practices and help them achieve their peak performance.”
As our environment becomes more complex, instead of thinking about how to reengineer the organization, we need to think about how to reinvent it, over and over.”

Jim Keane, CEO, Steelcase Inc.

Cultivating the Environment

In this ever-changing business environment, top executives are rethinking how to lead and create more robust organizations. By understanding business as a complex adaptive system, organizations can foster resilience and thrive in the midst of unpredictable circumstances. The model of adaptive systems provides insights for how to deploy key resources, especially people, in fundamentally different ways.

One of the most radical shifts is recognizing that adaptive systems are distinguished by distributed decision making, rather than central control. In this environment, people are not part of a rigid system, waiting for direction from people above them in the chain of command. Rather, they need to rapidly and continually adjust in response to the feedback they receive in order to thrive in dramatically changed circumstances. Unlike more rigid systems, adaptive ones rely on a regular flow of feedback from their larger environment to help them respond and change.

Even the military, which has functioned for centuries under a command and control hierarchy, is reconsidering how to morph into a more adaptive system.

Leaders must shift toward “enabling rather than directing,” advises General Stanley McChrystal, author of “Team of Teams,” in which McChrystal shares the lessons he learned while trying to combat a highly agile and adaptive enemy. “The leader acts as an ‘Eyes-On, Hands-Off’ enabler who creates and maintains an ecosystem in which the organization operates.”

“The purpose of leaders used to be to distribute power and resources to drive optimization,” says Steelcase President and CEO Jim Keane. “But as our environment becomes more complex, instead of thinking about how to reengineer the organization, we need to think about how to reinvent it, over and over. And, crucially, instead of continuing to drain the meaning out of people’s jobs, we need to think hard about how to continually reenergize and engage our teams.”
To gain a deeper understanding and test emerging concepts, last year Steelcase researchers and designers began working with the company’s executive team to envision the next evolution of leadership spaces and to test that plan in a new Leadership Community. The team has trialed new concepts for its executive spaces for over 20 years, making the radical shift in 1995 from private executive suites on the top floor to an open-plan space on a more accessible floor. Two iterations later the team recognized it was time to explore new territory.

“Space is the body language of an organization,” says Julie Barnhart-Hoffman, design principal, WorkSpace Futures. “It’s a way to communicate and a way to provoke desired responses.” Executives may not have thought about what their space is communicating to the organization, potential employees, outside partners, investors or other stakeholders. But it’s clear that in some organizations there is a disconnect between the words they use to communicate their desired culture and the message that their space is sending.

“How we organize physical space says a lot about how we think people behave; but how people behave is often a by-product of how we set up physical spaces,” writes McChrystal. He noted that his team needed a space that fostered a “networked flow of ideas” and promoted more interaction rather than separation. “We were charged with creating a new leadership space that would be an iconic symbol of the cultural change happening in our leadership team and in our entire organization,” explains Barnhart-Hoffman. “It needed to be a space that celebrates openness and interconnectedness.”

Key Principles

The Steelcase team identified key principles for designing leadership spaces that can foster an adaptive culture.

**Nurture the Individual**

There is a link between physical health, mental health and cognitive performance. Executives need to manage significant stress from the performance pressures they face. Environments that help executives manage stress and promote their well-being can enhance their cognitive processes.

**Space as Synapse**

Executives face even greater challenges in maintaining relationships and informational connections, especially in globally integrated organizations. Leadership spaces can be designed to help facilitate better connections between people and information, while providing remote executives with a virtual presence more similar to the experience of leaders who are physically present in the space.

**Enable Transitions**

Executives are challenged with the need to constantly switch informational contexts throughout the day. Time is also a critical resource. Physical spaces can help accelerate contextual immersion and support leaders getting into flow faster.

To gain a deeper understanding and test emerging concepts, last year Steelcase researchers and designers began working with the company’s executive team to envision the next evolution of leadership spaces and to test that plan in a new Leadership Community. The team has trialed new concepts for its executive spaces for over 20 years, making the radical shift in 1995 from private executive suites on the top floor to an open-plan space on a more accessible floor. Two iterations later the team recognized it was time to explore new territory.

“Space is the body language of an organization,” says Julie Barnhart-Hoffman, design principal, WorkSpace Futures. “It’s a way to communicate and a way to provoke desired responses.” Executives may not have thought about what their space is communicating to the organization, potential employees, outside partners, investors or other stakeholders. But it’s clear that in some organizations there is a disconnect between the words they use to communicate their desired culture and the message that their space is sending.

“How we organize physical space says a lot about how we think people behave; but how people behave is often a by-product of how we set up physical spaces,” writes McChrystal. He noted that his team needed a space that fostered a “networked flow of ideas” and promoted more interaction rather than separation. “We were charged with creating a new leadership space that would be an iconic symbol of the cultural change happening in our leadership team and in our entire organization,” explains Barnhart-Hoffman. “It needed to be a space that celebrates openness and interconnectedness.”

**Changing the role of leadership spaces**

The Steelcase leadership prototype had goals that were both universally applicable and specific to the organization. One example of a common problem is to better coalesce a globally distributed executive team. Notes one remotely located leader, “I often found that when we met on video the way the space was organized made it difficult for me to be noticed when I had something to contribute to the conversation. I had to raise my hand when I wanted to speak, which didn’t make me feel like an equal participant.”

Keane specifically wanted to change the role of the leadership space to support the cultural transformation underway in the organization. “I wanted my team to be in an environment that would support and speed our evolution from being top decision makers to what I describe as being curators of the environment,” notes Keane. “Instead of making all the decisions, as curators it’s our job to listen to the pulse and attend to the context, to see opportunities and empower our employees to do what they are equipped to do best.”

A key decision was to continue the executive team journey from higher level floors, which could become isolating without much employee traffic, and move the team to a main-floor crossroads of the campus. Encouraging employees to work in the space too or, at the very least, make it a regular pathway is intended to help leaders be more attuned to a broader context, learn about developments faster and be accessible for impromptu conversations with employees and visiting customers.
Leadership Spaces
An Evolution

On the top floor of corporate headquarters, each leader had a traditional private office and secretary just outside the door guarding access. The executive suite was rarely a destination for employees.

The executive team moved down a floor into an open-plan environment organized with an activity-based planning design. Individual work settings surrounded an open area called “The Egg,” equipped with technology for information sharing and team meetings.

The second leadership community included enclosed enclaves for focused work or one-on-one discussions and team spaces for collaboration, including videoconferencing. A large-scale display showed real-time business metrics, and a central cafe encouraged informal interactions.

Steelcase’s leadership community is in a highly accessible, high-traffic area on the main level of the company’s Learning and Innovation Center. Casual environments available to all employees are integrated into the ecosystem for work or informal discussions with leaders. Administrative assistants sit together as a team versus alongside leaders, and several executives share administrative support.

The company no longer has one headquarters building. Leaders are distributed in four business centers on three continents.

Manufacturing-inspired business models emphasized clearly defined functional divisions and hierarchy. Technology was minimal, including desk phones, electric typewriters or word processors, and early versions of personal computers.

Management objectives shifted focus from responsibilities to results. Desktop computers were prevalent; early mobile devices included pagers and schedulers.

Laptops, tablets, and cell phones enabled mobility. Informal, social interaction was increasingly recognized as a high-value work mode.

Technology connects people throughout the world and allows work to happen anywhere. Purpose, organizational culture, and values provide stability and give work meaning in a constantly changing business context.
The Steelcase executive team recently moved into its new Leadership Community. Like other Steelcase workplaces, it’s considered a behavioral prototype – a fully built-out environment where concepts can be tested and evaluated in actual use over time. Embedded technologies and observational research are yielding data and insights into how the space is being used and how it supports performance, building a growing repository of workplace knowledge.

“Our research confirmed that no single setting can solve for the diversified needs of executives today. We realized the need to focus on a specific range of settings that are unrestricted by current conventions,” explains Kammer.

The floor plan is zoned for three main categories of activities:
- discovery and learning
- collaboration
- individual focusing and connecting

Although these have been essential leadership activities for decades, in this latest iteration of Steelcase’s Leadership Community, discovery and learning are prioritized.

As in previous Leadership Community iterations, the space design is predicated on the notion that leaders need to function as a team and should be located together when they are in the office, rather than being located with their teams and risking the creation of silos. In this newest iteration, the leadership space was intentionally built on the main floor, where employees pass through often. Employees are encouraged to use meeting areas within the Leadership Community, use individual workspaces and have informal, social gatherings there. It is radically more open to the entire organization than earlier iterations, sending a clear message to employees. This strategy reinforces behaviors found in complex adaptive systems, in which there is a free-flowing, naturally occurring exchange of information and ideas.

— Patricia Kammer, Senior Design Researcher, WorkSpace Futures

Steelcase’s Behavioral Prototype
Case Study

In this new iteration of the Leadership Community, all senior leaders are collocated in a radically open-plan environment. Located on the main level, it is highly accessible to all employees.
Instead of a private office, each resident executive, including CEO Jim Keane, has an open-plan workstation and shares access to enclosed private settings as needed. Steelcase leaders are highly mobile: their previous spaces were vacant up to 80 percent of the time, so the new space occupies only one-third of the real estate allocated to previous versions. "It's intended to not only provide better ways for executives to work, but it's also a better utilization of real estate," says Barnhart-Hoffman.
Leaders, like all employees, need spaces for privacy as well as socialization. They share access to a range of private, enclosed spaces that are designed for a variety of needs. They have places for entrusted, confidential discussions, rooms where they can work with sensitive information, or smaller enclaves where they simply step away and rejuvenate in solitude during a hectic day.
Among the innovative features of this latest Leadership Community design is the clustering of administrative assistants in the floor plan. No longer situated in front of the executives they support – and easily seen as a gatekeeper who controls access – they’re now in proximity to each other so they can share information easily and, like the executives they support, work better as a team.
Because leaders are now located on the main-floor in the center of the campus, they’re more accessible. Settings for impromptu conversations as well as scheduled meetings make it easy to stay connected and current.
Steelcase is a global enterprise and the executive team is distributed across continents, regularly traveling between locations. The team is able to connect both physically and virtually in the office through immersive technology experiences that are integrated throughout the floor plan.
“Physical space can encourage resiliency, agility and employee engagement. It can support learning, amplify performance and wellbeing. Or, it can isolate leaders and reinforce silos, and exacerbate stress,” says Barnhart-Hoffman. “Our leadership space was created to send a clear message: We are more like a complex adaptive system than a hierarchy. This is a company where leaders work together and everyone is encouraged to be agile and flexible, to learn, adapt and change.”

What message does your space send about how leaders and the organization work together? Does it reflect the kind of organization you lead today, or the kind of organization you want to become?

With its executive team spread across four countries on three continents, leveraging technology to achieve more immersive experiences was a vital requirement for Steelcase’s new Leadership Community space. Focused on learning, the team decided to use Cisco’s iRobot Ava 500 to explore ways remote team members can replicate the critical experience of being in the physical work environment.

Gale Moutrey, vice president of global communications, is among the first to experiment. From anywhere in the world, thanks to advanced mapping and an easy-to-use remote control, Gale, who is based in Toronto, can move her robot in Grand Rapids, Mich., anywhere in the building to attend meetings or have hallway conversations as if she were actually present in the physical space. The mobile videoconferencing technology enables a more natural way to be with her executive peers and the teams she leads.

Overall, she describes the experience as “liberating.” Even with the best technologies and exceptionally well-designed spaces, videoconferencing can limit the natural, seamless interactions that are crucial to effective teamwork and collaboration. In contrast, reports Moutrey, her iRobot has given her “the ability to have serendipitous interactions and be personally present without being physically in the space. I like to leave some unscheduled time in my calendar so I can take my robot to the cafe where I can visit with anyone there.”

Is it a little weird to be Steelcase’s first Robo sapien? “Only at first, and then the technology disappears because the quality of the experience is there.”
What Keeps CEOs Awake At Night

A conversation with European Drucker Forum CEO Richard Straub about building employee engagement

If there is one thing CEOs worry about, it’s their employees: more than one-third of the workforce is disengaged, doing just enough to get by.

According to the Steelcase Engagement and the Global Workplace study, 37 percent of the world’s workers are disengaged. Another 29 percent teeter between engaged and disengaged. So how can leaders boost engagement? Build community, says Richard Straub, a veteran of over three decades in leadership positions at IBM. He’s currently the president of the Peter Drucker Society Europe, and heads one of the foremost thought leadership conferences in the field of management, The Global Peter Drucker Forum, druckerforum.org.

Why do you think so many employees, in companies large and small, around the world, are disengaged?

RS One of the biggest reasons is that people don’t feel a sense of community. Fundamentally, the foundation of a community is a joint purpose: Having a compelling reason to be part of the organization is why people become engaged. It seems an easy thing to do, but it’s quite hard.

How do you develop community?

RS The first test is, is there a base of trust in the organization? Can the people in the community trust their leaders… that their leaders will stand for them? Trust needs to be earned; it’s not something to be declared. As a leader, you must gain it through behavior. Next, what are the values of the company? Values are not just about feeling good; values are the deep commitments to priorities that guide decisions. We might say employees are our most important asset and we care about them, but do the decisions we make when forced to make tradeoffs consistently show that? It starts at the top and it goes down the chain.

Communities form around people actively communicating and living shared values. Organize activities across different functions; make connections across the organization easy, so employees can easily communicate across the lines. How much an organization is siloed expresses how difficult it is to build communities. How easy is it for employees to easily communicate across levels of hierarchy? How well do leaders ensure that there is enough incentive for achieving the common objective? These are very concrete things. Some companies have a very hierarchical and very bureaucratic, and that kills community. When you allow people to work across silos, it’s possible. Accomplishing this takes different forms in different organizations.

Can you give an example of an organization with a thriving sense of community?

RS Well, you see it often in startups. They have so much energy you can feel it. All the members of the team can relate to the purpose of the organization, each person fully identifies with it, and they even know that their work may make or break it. It’s that entrepreneurial spirit, the energy it creates when people feel they have responsibility and are accountable for results. They have a common experience of going through something which may be difficult, and then having the joy of having created something which otherwise would not exist.

But not everyone works at a start-up.

RS The age or size of the organization really doesn’t matter. It’s about leading in a bureaucratic way or in an entrepreneurial way, enabling entrepreneurial innovation within the context of the bigger purpose. You have to give people a bit of flexibility and let them do things, even though they might take time and not deliver against your short-term objectives. Innovation is something that people need the freedom to work on. Some companies, following a famous practice by 3M, have done it by granting employees some percentage of their time to pursue ideas not part of their assigned work. Again, each company can find its own ways, but a big part of being an effective leader is resolving the contradiction between the short-term pressures imposed by stock markets and speculative investors, and the long-term need for motivated, passionate and innovation-oriented communities.

One of the big leadership challenges is finding the right mix for your organization, to enable your team and your community to find their own ways, by self-organizing in the right way, while not allowing chaos. A leader can never just say “Okay, you guys are on your own–do it yourself.” It’s about providing a reasonable framework within which self-organization can work.

You write in one of your blog articles that, “The so-called demographic time bomb is one of the big threats and opportunities as we move into the 21st century.” Rather than expecting people to leave the workforce because of their age, what should leaders do to keep “all brains on deck?”

RS This issue needs to be addressed by company leaders in tandem with political leadership in Western democracies. In the coming years we will see four generations working side-by-side in workplaces. The current regulatory framework is totally inadequate as it is based on an industrial-age model that assumes a three-stage life: education, work, and retirement. This was a fair assumption in the time of Bismarck, when the first state retirement schemes were introduced, but as health and life expectancies have soared, it has become totally invalidated. We need to recognize the strengths and capabilities that people develop in the different phases of their lives and allow them to keep serving the common good.
Young people are said to be hungry for mentors. How much of a leader’s time should be devoted to mentoring, and what’s the best way for leaders to do that?

There is no standard recipe for that. However, with growing experience, the competence to mentor others increases. Peter Drucker was always skeptical that leaders could accomplish much through their declarations. If something, like mentoring, was a good idea, he wanted to see it systematically embedded in practices and processes. A classic example of making mentoring systematic is the dual vocational education system in Germany and Austria where education has been combined with practice-oriented mentoring for decades, with outstanding results.

Lynda Gratton, at London Business School, emphasizes that much of the knowledge that experienced workers have is tacit knowledge. How can leaders get generations to commingle and spend time together, and encourage knowledge transfer? Much has been written about knowledge management systems to facilitate knowledge sharing, and, lately, social media for even more flexible ways of communicating and distributing relevant knowledge and experience across organizations. However, we should not assume that all these great digital tools will be effective in the absence of the traditional, analog approaches to encouraging human interaction: the water coolers, the smokers’ corners (yes, smokers still exist), the open spaces that make it easy for people to informally exchange the tacit communications that have not and perhaps cannot be put on digital systems.

What role does space play in supporting leadership in building community?

With executives under permanent cost pressure, too many companies have moved into the direction of reducing and eliminating physical workspaces as the locus where the work actually happens. Everyone buys into the notion that, in a flat world, it does not matter where you are located; you will have the digital tools to communicate and collaborate with your co-workers as you like. However, more and more executives are discovering that the cost-driven savings model is flawed. For me, space is fundamental. In recent years there has been a belief that you can replace personal interaction with technology. It may be true to some degree, but of course it’s not true for the essence of human interactions. Take virtual teams. You can use technology to support the team, but only if the team has had the chance to get together and build trust beforehand. For building trust, for establishing the deep connection that supports cooperation and teamwork, for laying the foundation of community, you need face-to-face interaction. When it comes to building community through the design of workspaces, I think in the future it will be increasingly about creating space for not only your employees to interact but your partners, too. Video technology is finally to a point where it’s much better. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology. I’m not discounting technology, but I believe human interaction comes first. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology.

For me, space is fundamental. In recent years there has been a belief that you can replace personal interaction with technology. It may be true to some degree, but of course it’s not true for the essence of human interactions. Take virtual teams. You can use technology to support the team, but only if the team has had the chance to get together and build trust beforehand. For building trust, for establishing the deep connection that supports cooperation and teamwork, for laying the foundation of community, you need face-to-face interaction. When it comes to building community through the design of workspaces, I think in the future it will be increasingly about creating space for not only your employees to interact but your partners, too. Video technology is finally to a point where it’s much better. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology. I’m not discounting technology, but I believe human interaction comes first. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology.

Much has been written about knowledge management systems to facilitate knowledge sharing, and, lately, social media for even more flexible ways of communicating and distributing relevant knowledge and experience across organizations. However, we should not assume that all these great digital tools will be effective in the absence of the traditional, analog approaches to encouraging human interaction: the water coolers, the smokers’ corners (yes, smokers still exist), the open spaces that make it easy for people to informally exchange the tacit communications that have not and perhaps cannot be put on digital systems.

What role does space play in supporting leadership in building community?

With executives under permanent cost pressure, too many companies have moved into the direction of reducing and eliminating physical workspaces as the locus where the work actually happens. Everyone buys into the notion that, in a flat world, it does not matter where you are located; you will have the digital tools to communicate and collaborate with your co-workers as you like. However, more and more executives are discovering that the cost-driven savings model is flawed. Work is a social process. You can and should use digital tools to support work, but you can never substitute the rich community connections that are being established in places of encounter and exchange.

For me, space is fundamental. In recent years there has been a belief that you can replace personal interaction with technology. It may be true to some degree, but of course it’s not true for the essence of human interactions. Take virtual teams. You can use technology to support the team, but only if the team has had the chance to get together and build trust beforehand. For building trust, for establishing the deep connection that supports cooperation and teamwork, for laying the foundation of community, you need face-to-face interaction. When it comes to building community through the design of workspaces, I think in the future it will be increasingly about creating space for not only your employees to interact but your partners, too. Video technology is finally to a point where it’s much better. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology. I’m not discounting technology, but I believe human interaction comes first. It doesn’t replace the personal interaction but it is a much better technology.
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